

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/055,302 | 01/23/2002 | Dana Scranton | 258/118 7510 | |
| 34055 | 7590 09/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| PERKINS COIE LLP POST OFFICE BOX 1208 | | | CHAUDHRY, SAEED T | |
| SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1746 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/055,302 | SCRANTON, DANA | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Saeed T Chaudhry | 1746 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-17 and 22-33</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-17,22-30,32 and 33</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | • | | | | | |
| Application Papers | ; | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | n ⊠ | (222 | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ⊠ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (РТО-413) te. <u>9-2-04</u> . | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | ان ا | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed June 21, 2004 have been acknowledged by the examiner and entered. Claims 1-7, and 18-21 have been canceled and claims 8-17 and 22-33 are pending in this application for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al in view of Tavernier et al.

Sato et al (4,113,492) discloses a method for processing a workpiece having a front side, a back side and an edge by exposing at least the front side of the workpiece to a first processing fluid while rotating the workpiece; and then exposing the back side and the edge of the workpiece to a second processing fluid, such that the front side of the workpiece is not exposed to the second processing fluid, wherein the first fluid is a gelatino silver halide emulsion. (see col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 47 and col. 7, lines 1-2). However, the reference fails to rinse or dry the workpiece.

In an analogous art, Tavernier et al (5,264,339) disclose that coating of low gelatincontent silver halide emulsion layers is particularly important when ultra rapid processing is sought by which is meant processing within 20 to 60 seconds in total time during which the development, fixing, water rinsing and drying of a given point on the photographic material is completed (see col. 2, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious at the time applicant invented the claimed process to incorporate the cited steps of rinsing and drying the workpiece after applying the silver halide coating as disclosed by Travernier et al into the into the process of Sato et al since rinsing and drying after applying the coating is conventional for removing any contaminants on the coating surface and one would remove solvent from the workpiece by rinsing since solvent remain on the coating would deteriorate the coating.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-17, 22-30, 32 and 33 are allowed over the cited prior art.

Reasons For Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior art discloses or suggests a two step process of processing a workpiece wherein all the surfaces are immersed of the workpiece, rinsing the work piece; then immersing the back side and edge of the work piece with a processing fluid while rotating the work piece such that the other side of the work piece is not exposed to the processing fluid and rinsing and drying the workpiece.

The closest cited art Aegerter et al disclose immersing step but fails to disclose rotating during the immersion step. Further, Aegerter et al and Wirth et al are not prior art under 103(C) because these patents have common ownership.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 31 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,302

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saeed T. Chaudhry whose telephone number is (571) 272-1298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Barr, can be reached on (571)-272-1414. The fax phone number for non-final is (703)-872-9306.

When filing a FAX in Gp 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Saeed T. Chaudhry

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER